IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8611 OF 2004

Shri Anand Subhash Doshi ... Petitioner

v/s

Sugandhlal K. Dharamraj and ors. ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8612 OF 2004

Shri Santoshkumar M. Lunkad ... Petitioner

v/s

Sugandhlal K. Dharamraj and ors. ... Respondents

Mr. A.P. Kulkarni for Petitioner.

None for Respondents.

CORAM : D.K. DESHMUKH J.

DATE : 20TH JULY 2005.

P.C. :-

1. Both the Courts below have concurrently found that the plaintiff is not entitled to temporary injunction. Both the Courts have prima facie found that the defendants have established existence of the road when the base of the application of the plaintiff was absence of the existence of the road. Considering that there are concurrent findings recorded by the Courts below, I do not see any reason to interfere with the order impugned. Petitions are rejected.

. Parties to act on the copy of this order duly authenticated by the Sheristedar / Personal Secretary of this Court as true copy.

. Certified copy expedited.